Waste Storage Pond (WSP) and Waste Treatment Lagoon (WTL) Evaluation

OP	ERATOR/OWNER NAME:		
SIT	E/FACILITY NAME:		
AD	EM NPDES AFO/CAFO REGISTRATION NUMBER: <u>ALA</u>		
LO	CATION (TOWNSHIP, RANGE, SECTION):		
LA	T./LONG. OF WSP OR WTL:		
СО	UNTY: WSP WTL DATE CONSTU	CTED	
NE	AREST SURFACE WATER OR WATERBODY: AF	PROX.	F1
NE	AREST WELL: FT. LOCATED (UP, DOWN) GRADIENT		
NE	AREST PROPERTY LINE: FT.		
1.	Did NRCS provide design and construction assistance for the WSP or WTL?	YES	NO
2.	If (1) is YES, was the liner or soil surface installed according to NRCS standards that were applicable at the time of construction?		
3.	If (1) is YES, was the WSP or WTL construction documented and certified by NRCS as meeting the standards that were applicable at the time of construction?		
4.	Does the storage and/or treatment volume(s) in the WSP or WTL meet the NRCS standard that was applicable at the time of construction?		
5.	Does the storage and/or treatment volume(s) in the WSP or WTL meet the current NRCS standard?		
6.	Are the animal units on-hand more than 10% greater than what was used in the design of the WSP or WTL?		
7.	Does it appear that the WSP or WTL has been maintained to have a minimum of 1ft. of freeboard?		
8.	Does the WSP or WTL have an emergency by-pass (earthen or pipe) in place to safely bypass runoff without overtopping the embankment?		
9.	Trees and woody vegetation are present on the embankment. (If so, estimate percent coverage, and maximum diameter in COMMENTS section.)		

10.	Is the earthen embankment covered with vegetation (grass) to control erosion and being properly maintained?	YES	NO
11.	Does the earthen embankment show signs of irregularity that would indicate a slope failure or excessive settlement?		
12.	Does the earthen embankment show signs of leakage on the back slope or immediately down gradient of the embankment?		
13.	Has the embankment been modified without concurrence by a QCP? (If so, describe modifications in COMMENTS section.)		
14.	Have burrowing animals or livestock caused any damage to the embankment?		
15.	Is the embankment fenced?		
16.	Are warning signs posted?		
17.	Have pipes been installed through the embankment that could cause a piping failure?		
18.	Is a permanent gauge or marker in place to visually identify the maximum operating level of the WSP or WTL?		
19.	Is there a permanent gauge or marker to visually identify the minimum treatment volume level of the WTL?		
20.	Is there any evidence that the liner or soil surface treatment for the WSP or WTL has been damaged?		
21.	Is there any other noticeable liquid waste leakage at the facility? (If yes, explain in COMMENTS section.)		
22.	Is there any evidence that there is a groundwater or surface water concern? (If yes, explain in COMMENTS section. Attach water analysis if available.)		
23.	Is there any evidence of a recent discharge?		
24.	Does there appear to be excessive amounts of sludge or floating matter present that would interfere with the proper operation of the WSP or WTL?		
CO:	MMENTS:		
			_
			_
			_
	Natural Resources Conservation Service has completed this evaluation and to the best of my kein is true and correct.	nowledge, all in	formation
RES	SOURCE ENGINEER DATE OF EVALUATION	_	